

1 Andrew L. Rempfer, Esq.
Nevada Bar No.: 8628
2 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. PARSONS
10091 Park Run Drive Ste 200
3 Las Vegas, NV 89145-8868
(702) 384-9900
4 (702) 384-5900 (fax)
Steve@SJPlawyer.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
6 **EVA PEREZ**

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 **EVA PEREZ**, an individual,

Case No. 2:15-cv-1553-JAD-(NJK)

10 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF'S
RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
[ECF No.: 56]**

11 vs.

12 **STATION CASINOS, LLC**, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

[FIRST REQUEST]

14 _____/

15 The parties, through their respective undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate
16 to extend the time by which Plaintiff shall respond to Defendant's Motion for Summary
17 Judgment [ECF No.: 56]. Currently, Plaintiff's response is due September 20, 2017. The
18 parties hereby stipulate Plaintiff shall have an additional two weeks - or until October 4,
19 2017 - to respond to Defendant's Motion.

20 Pursuant to LR 6-1 and 26-4, this is Plaintiff's first request. This request is justified
21 by Plaintiff's counsel's need to oppose: (1) a motion for summary judgment in a separate
22 case; (2) a writ of prohibition in a separate case; and, (3) participate in out-of-state
23 settlement conference during this period. This request is made in good faith, and not for
24 purposes of delay.

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

1 Dated: Tuesday, September 12, 2017.

2 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. PARSONS

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

3 /s/ Andrew L. Rempfer
4 ANDREW L. REMPFER
Nevada Bar No. 8628

/s/ Samuel R. Mirkovich
SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH
Nevada Bar No. 11662

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff **EVA PEREZ**

Attorneys for Defendants
STATION CASINOS, LLC and **NP TEXAS, LLC**

7 **ORDER**

8 Based on the parties' stipulation [ECF No. 58] and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY
9 ORDERED that the **plaintiff's deadline to respond to the defendant's motion for**
10 **summary judgment [ECF No. 56] is extended to October 4, 2017.** Counsel is cautioned
11 that, although a congested calendar with numerous deadlines may be good cause for a single
12 extension of time, future requests for relief from deadlines on this basis will likely be denied.
Although the court is sensitive to the occasional calendaring challenge, attorneys are
13 responsible for balancing their workload and staffing needs to ensure that court-imposed
deadlines are met.

14 
15 U.S. District Judge Jennifer Dorsey
September 12, 2017